

An hyperbolic model of nonlinear acoustics with Helmholtz resonators

Stéphane Junca

LJAD, Math., Université de Nice, France
Team Coffee, INRIA Sophia-Antipolis

joint work with **Bruno Lombard**, LMA, Marseille

GdR MecaWave 2018, Villa Clythia, Fréjus

Wednesday, November 7, 2018

- 1 The model
 - A simplified model
- 2 Global smooth solutions via Kawashima condition
- 3 Shock in finite time
- 4 Global weak entropy solutions
 - splitting scheme
 - entropy solutions

- **solitons** : nonlinear waves, large amplitude and constant profile
 - ✓ competition between nonlinearity and dispersion
 - ✓ many physical systems : fluid dynamics (KdV), optics, ...
- acoustics : **no solitons**
 - ✗ intrinsic dispersion too low
 - 👉 need to introduce geometric dispersion

Acoustic solitons

- tube with **Helmholtz resonators**
 - ✓ Sugimoto (📖 JFM 92, 04) : physical modeling
 - ✓ Richoux, Lombard, Mercier (📖 Wave Motion 15) : numerics, experiments

O. Richoux, LAUM



Sugimoto's model

Hypotheses

- low-frequency : propagating plane mode \rightarrow 1D
- weak acoustic nonlinearity in the tube
- $\lambda \gg$ distance \rightarrow continuous distribution of resonators

Evolution equations

- field splitted into simple **right-going** (+) and **left-going** waves (-)

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial u^\pm}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(\pm a u^\pm + b \frac{(u^\pm)^2}{2} \right) = \pm c \frac{\partial^{-1/2}}{\partial t^{-1/2}} \frac{\partial u^\pm}{\partial x} + d \frac{\partial^2 u^\pm}{\partial x^2} \mp e \frac{\partial p^\pm}{\partial t} \\ \frac{\partial^2 p^\pm}{\partial t^2} + f \frac{\partial^{3/2} p^\pm}{\partial t^{3/2}} + g p^\pm - m \frac{\partial^2 (p^\pm)^2}{\partial t^2} + n \left| \frac{\partial p^\pm}{\partial t} \right| \frac{\partial p^\pm}{\partial t} = \pm h u^\pm \end{cases}$$

- ▷ propagation : linear a and **nonlinear** b
- ▷ oscillations : linear g and **nonlinear** m
- ▷ **coupling** : e, h
- ▷ attenuation : d , **fractional** c and f , **nonlinear** n

A simplified model

Hypothesis : right-going waves,

no fractional attenuation, no nonlinear losses,

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u + a\partial_x u + b\partial_x \left(\frac{u^2}{2}\right) & = -\Omega^2 \varphi, \\ \partial_t \varphi & = u - \varepsilon \varphi - \omega_0^2 \phi, \\ \partial_t \phi & = \varphi, \end{cases}$$

$\Omega > 0$, $\omega_0 > 0$, $a > 0$, $b > 0$, $\varepsilon \geq 0$, with initial data,

$$u(0, x) = u_0(x), \quad \varphi(0, x) = \varphi_0(x), \quad \phi(0, x) = \phi_0(x),$$

Non increasing energy for smooth solution

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(u^2 + \Omega^2 \varphi^2 + \Omega^2 \omega_0^2 \phi^2 \right) (x, t) dx = -\Omega^2 \varepsilon \int \varphi^2 dx.$$

Burgers-Helmholtz system

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_t u + a\partial_x u + b\partial_x \left(\frac{u^2}{2} \right) &= -\Omega^2 \varphi, \\ \partial_t^2 \varphi + \varepsilon \partial_t \varphi + \omega_0^2 \varphi &= \partial_t u\end{aligned}$$

as a Burgers equation with a nonlocal source term

$$\partial_t u + a\partial_x u + b\partial_x \left(\frac{u^2}{2} \right) = -u_0(x)K_1(t) + \int_0^t K(t-s)u(s,x)ds.$$

$$\partial_t u + a \partial_x u + b \partial_x \left(\frac{u^2}{2} \right) = 0, \quad u(0, x) = u_0(x)$$

- 1 Maximum principle : $\inf u_0(x) \leq u(t, x) \leq \sup u_0(x)$
- 2 **Global smooth solution** if and only if $u_0 \uparrow$
- 3 apparition of a **shock wave** in a finite time
- 4 **Unique global weak entropy solution** $\forall u_0 \in L^\infty$: Kruzkov 1970
- 5 Smoothing effect $\forall t > 0, u(t, \cdot) \in BV_x(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$: Lax & Oleinik 1957

Existence of some small smooth solutions

The system is *partially* dissipative.

The **Kawashima condition (K)** (1985) ensures the existence of global (small) smooth solutions near equilibrium.

(K) means for the linearized system $\partial_t U + A \partial_x U = S U$ at some equilibrium that there is no eigenvectors of the linearized flux in the kernel of the linearized source.

$$AV = \lambda V \quad \& \quad V \neq \vec{0} \quad \Rightarrow \quad SV \neq \vec{0}$$

If (K) is not satisfied some travelling waves are not dissipated
If $AV = \lambda V$ and $SV = 0$ then the travelling wave $U(t, x) = v(x - \lambda t)V$ where v is a scalar function is not dissipated by the source term.

Proposition ((K)=(Kawashima) condition)

The (K) condition is fulfilled at all non zero equilibrium wich is parametrized by $\phi_e \neq 0$,

$$(u_e, \varphi_e, \phi_e) = (\omega_0^2 \phi_e, 0, \phi_e);$$

but, the (K) condition is not satisfied at rest

$$(u_e, \varphi_e, \phi_e) = (0, 0, 0).$$

and global smooth solutions are expected (?)

Burgers equation with a dissipative source term

With a dissipative source term more smooth solutions than without.
The simplest example

$$\partial_t u + u \partial_x u = -L u$$

- global smooth solution $\iff -L \leq u'_0(x)$.

- **Lax proof** : $v = \partial_x u$

$$(\partial_t + u \partial_x) v = -v^2 - L v = -v(v + L)$$

$$-\infty \leftarrow -L \rightarrow 0 \leftarrow +\infty$$

$v = \partial_x u = 0$ attractive equilibrium for all $-L < u$

$\partial_x u = -\infty$ attractive “blow-up” (Lax-shock) for all $u < -L$

- 1 Method generalized by Lax for 2×2 system then ... Majda ...
- 2 Burgers-Hilbert, Bressan-Khai, SIAM Math. Anal. 2014.

Theorem (Shock in finite time)

Apparition of shock wave for smooth bounded initial data with

$$\inf \partial_x u_0(x) \ll 0 \leq \sup \partial_x u_0(x) \ll |\inf \partial_x u_0(x)|$$

A “Lax” proof : $v = \partial_x u$

$$\frac{dv}{dt} + bv^2 = k(t, x) - \mathcal{L}(v).$$

simplified dynamics along characteristics :

$$\frac{dv}{dt}(x, t) \leq -bv^2(x, t) + C_0 + C_\varepsilon \sup_{(y, s) \in \mathbb{R} \times [0, t]} |v(y, s)|.$$

Global weak entropy solutions

Rewrite an equation as a system

Classic idea : $v(x)$ not smooth, Baiti-Jenssen J.D.E. 1997

$$\partial_t u + \partial_x f(v(x), u) = 0 \iff \begin{cases} \partial_t u + \partial_x f(v, u) = 0 \\ \partial_t v = 0 \end{cases}$$

$N \times N$ system : $u = u_1$, $U = (u, u_2, \dots, u_N)$,

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u + \partial_x f(u) = \sum_{j=1}^N a_{1j} u_j, \\ \partial_t u_i = \sum_{j=1}^N a_{ij} u_j, \quad 1 < i \leq N \end{cases}$$

Existence via a splitting scheme

- 1 Solving on $[t, t + \Delta t[$

$$\partial_t u + \partial_x f(u) = 0$$

- 2 Next, again on $[t, t + \Delta t[$ with the previous final data at $t + \Delta t$ as the initial data of $u = u_1$ at t for the ODEs

$$\partial_t U = AU$$

$$U = U(t, x).$$

In general NO “Maximum principle” : No Existence of invariant region

U is an entropy solution of the $N \times N$ system if $\forall \eta$ convex, $q' = \eta' f'$,

$$\forall \eta \geq 0, \quad \partial_t \eta(u) + \partial_x q(u) \leq \eta'(u) \sum_{j=1}^N a_{1j} u_j \quad \in \mathcal{D}'$$

Theorem (Existence and uniqueness of entropy solution)

$\forall T > 0, \exists!$ *entropy solution of the $N \times N$ system*

$U \in L^\infty([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^N) \cap C^0([0, T], L^1(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^N))$

Moreover if the initial data $U_0(x) \in BV^s, 0 < s \leq 1$, then

$U \in L^\infty([0, T], BV^s(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^N)) \cap C^s([0, T], L^{1/s}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^N)).$

Existence and uniqueness for Burgers-Helmholtz

- The same existence and uniqueness result

So the Lax entropy condition on the velocity $[u] \leq 0$
and no condition on $[\varphi]$ and $[\phi]$

- with a decreasing energy due to shock waves :

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(u^2 + \Omega^2 \varphi^2 + \Omega^2 \omega_0^2 \phi^2 \right) (x, t) dx \leq -\Omega^2 \varepsilon \int \varphi^2 dx.$$

The energy is a "mathematical" entropy

- No "Maximum principle"

Conclusions & Prospects

- 1 A simplified system built on Burgers equation for Burgers-Helmholtz resonators
- 2 Smooth solutions, Shock Waves, unique entropy solutions
- 3 More global smooth solutions are expected ?
- 4 Kruzkov 1970 : scalar conservation laws, $x = (x_1, \dots, x_d)$

$$\partial_t u + \operatorname{div} F(t, x, u) = g(t, x, u)$$

Generalisation with variables coefficients ?
for the multi-dimensional case ?

- 5 With fractional time derivatives ?
via the hyperbolic approximated system ?
Bruno Lombard & Denis Matignon, SIAM Appl. Math. 2016